

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

ORLINGT COURT

ORLING

DIONE D. WILKINSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BRAUM'S ICE CREAM & DAIRY,

Defendant.

33 CO RT CLER

Cale N 2013 - 5 4 16

PETITION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, DIONE D. WILKINSON, by and through her attorney of record, Robert J. Wagner and Jon J. Gores of the firm of WAGNER & WAGNER, P.C. and for her cause of action against Defendant, BRAUM'S ICE CREAM & DAIRY, alleges and states the following:

- 1. Plaintiff is a forty-seven (47) year old resident of Oklahoma County, State of Oklahoma.
- 2. Defendant BRAUM'S ICE CREAM & DAIRY (hereinafter "Defendant") is upon information and belief a corporation established under the laws of the State of Oklahoma with its principal place of business and service address both situated in Oklahoma County.

CAUSES OF ACTION

- 3. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant for nearly thirteen (13) years beginning when she was hired in September of 2000 as a Worker at the Midwest City, Oklahoma location.
- 4. Through hard work and dedication Plaintiff worked her way up to the position of store manager, and the store in which she managed was regarded at the time of



her management as one of the "top ten" Braum's store locations in relation to sales and customer volume.

t

- 5. Plaintiff as store manager would routinely work from the time of opening until the store closed at night.
- 6. In 2009, Plaintiff began having personal family issues that necessitated Plaintiff's time and attention. Plaintiff brought her concerns of needing more help to District Manager, Paul Heer.
- 7. At this same time road construction blocked the front of the Midwest City store location which resulted in a decline in bun sales.
- 8. Due to the decline in bun sales and Plaintiff's request of needing help with the high demands of being a store manager, Defendant transferred Plaintiff to the Choctaw store location demoting her to Assistant Manager Day (AMD).
- 9. Plaintiff's first Store Manager at this location, Jason, would falsely report he was at the store working on his time sheet, when in fact he was at home.
- Plaintiff reported the actions of the Store Manager to the District Manager,
 Laura Clark, who upon investigation replaced Jason with Bryan Wood as Store Manager.
- 11. Store Manager Bryan Wood treated Plaintiff differently than younger employees and his extensive lack of professionalism undermined Plaintiff's authority and ability to manage her day shift.
- 12. Plaintiff and Shift Supervisor Day, Linda Fulton were harassed for their age.
- 13. Plaintiff constantly received threats of the loss of her job with no reason given.

ŧ

- 14. Plaintiff and Ms. Fulton brought their complaints of harassment and discriminatory treatment to the District Manager, Laura Clark, who terminated Mr. Wood as Store Manager.
- 15. Following Mr. Wood's termination Alyse Botherton became the new Store Manager of the Choctaw location.
- 16. Under the new Store Manager, Plaintiff was again subjected to unequal terms and conditions than younger employees and managers. Plaintiff is of the belief this treatment was in retaliation for her prior complaints.
- 17. Plaintiff was required to unload trucks which was not usually a job assigned to managers, and other managers were not required to do this task.
- 18. Plaintiff was required to have the work area completely stocked and cleaned prior to the night shift, and she would receive a write-up if this was not completed whereas the Assistant Manager Night (AMN) who had the same requirement would not receive a write-up for not having the area cleaned and stocked prior to the morning shift subjecting Plaintiff to unequal terms and working conditions than younger managers had to work under.
- 19. Plaintiff was required to Dye her hair so that it would appear to look younger, and she was required to buy and wear expensive makeup due to her having sensitive skin.
- 20. Plaintiff was denied breaks to take prescribe medication for her disabilities.
- 21. The practices complained of above were intentional and designed to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her

status as an employee and were in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA).

ľ

- 22. The unlawful employment practices complained of above were committed with malice and with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights.
- 23. In addition to Plaintiff's claims of Age Discrimination in violation of the (ADEA), Plaintiff brings the claims of discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and violation of the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA).
 - 24. Plaintiff hurt her foot, and she needed to use FMLA time to recuperate.
- 25. Plaintiff was placed in a boot, and she attempted to come back to work for one and one-half days after being out for FMLA.
- 26. Plaintiff was told by a manager that she needed a complete release before being allowed to return, and Plaintiff requested an accommodation.
- 27. Plaintiff requested that the manager talk to her doctor, and her doctor instructed that Plaintiff could return but needed to have her foot off of the ground after having pressure on it for twenty-seven (27) minutes.
- 28. Plaintiff could have performed the essential functions of her job with a reasonable accommodation of utilizing a stool to alleviate the pressure periodically.
- 29. Plaintiff's accommodation was denied, and she was told not to come back until she was fully released which is in violation of the (ADA).
- 30. Plaintiff's FMLA time during the one and one-half days she returned to work was not noted as was common practice at Braum's to note the return days.
- 31. Plaintiff routinely called Defendant's corporate office to inquire on how much time she had remaining on FMLA.

- 32. Defendant told Plaintiff repeatedly her last day of FMLA would be on the 20th of June 2013, and this date does not take into account the one and one-half days she worked during the time period.
- 33. On the 19th of June 2013, Plaintiff received a text message from her Store Manager to turn in her keys, terminating Plaintiff's employment.
- 34. Defendant interfered with her rights under FMLA by not allowing her full use of allowed time, and terminating her from employment prior to the expiration of her accrued time.
- 35. Plaintiff filed a "Charge" of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission (EEOC) charge number 564-2013-01190 on the 2nd day of July, 2013 as attached hereto as (Appendix-1).
- 36. A Notice of Suit Rights was issued by the EEOC on the 2nd of July 2013, and a copy of the notice is attached hereto as (Appendix-2) and incorporated herein by this reference.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- a. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant, their officers, successors, assigns, and all persons in active concert of participation with them, from engaging in employment practices which discriminate on the basis of age and disability.
- b. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for Dione Wilkinson and other employees and which eradicate the effects of their past and present unlawful employment practices.
- c. Order Defendant to make whole Dione Wilkinson by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be proved at trial and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of Defendants' unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to rightful-placement reinstatement or front pay in lieu of placement.

- d. Order Defendant to make whole Dione Wilkinson by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary and non-pecuniary loses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described above, including, but not limited to emotional pain, suffering, anxiety, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation, and inconvenience, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- e. Order Defendant to pay Dione Wilkinson punitive damages for their malice or reckless indifference to her protected rights described above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- f. Grant such further relief as the court deems just and proper through law or equity.
- g. Award Plaintiff her costs and attorney fees in this action.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER & WAGNER, P.C. 4401 N. Classen Blvd., Suite 100 Oklahoma City, OK 73118-5038 (405) 521-9499 Telephone (405) 521-8994 Fax rjw@wagnerfirm.com (e-mail)

By:

ROBERT J. WAGNER, OBA #016902

JON J. GORES, OBA #31068

Attorney for Plaintiff DIONE D. WILKINSON

ATTORNEY'S LIEN CLAIMED

EEOC Form 5 (11/09)							
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	Presented To: A	gency(les) Charge No(s):				
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act Statement and other information before completing this form.		FEPA					
Scatement and other information before completing this form.	X	EEOC	564-2013-01190				
Oklahoma Attorney General's Office, Office of CR Enforcement and EEOC							
State or local Ager	ncy, if any						
Name (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	,	Hame Phone (Incl. Area (
Ms. Dione D. Wilkinson		(405) 882-979	6 10-21-1965				
Street Address City, State and ZIP Code 12023 S.E. 38th St., Choctaw, OK 73020							
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshin Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS		itate or Local Governmen	nt Agency That I Belleve				
Name		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)				
BRAUMS ICE CREAM & DAIRY		500 or More	(405) 390-1851				
	and ZIP Code						
14205 Ne 23rd St, Oklahoma City, OK 73112							
Neme		No. Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)				
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code						
·		•					
		,					
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(S) DISCRI	MINATION TOOK PLACE Latest				
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIG	N 08-201					
X RETALIATION X AGE X DISABILITY GE	" NETIC INFORMATION	ON					
OTHER (Spocity)							
THE PARTICULARS ARE (if additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):			·				
I. I was hired in September 2000 as a Worker. While or							
I was discharged by a text message from the Store Manage							
"turn in your keys". As an AMD, I was harassed by the yo							
and disabilities. I was denied breaks to take my prescribe		•	-				
unequal terms and conditions in comparison to Kari (20) A allowed to not to clean and stock the store before she left h							
against other managers to human resources I received write							
			ing my employment,				
I was denied reasonable accommodation for my disabilities. I was replaced by Kari.							
II. I believe that I have been discriminated against becau	use of my dis	abilities, in violatie	on of the Americans				
with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended; and my age, 47 in violation of the Age Discrimination in							
with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended; and my age, 47	in violation	of the Age Discrin	nination in				
with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended; and my age, 47 Employment Act of 1967, as amended.	in violation	of the Age Discrin	nination in				
1	in violation	of the Age Discrin	nination in				
Employment Act of 1967, as amended. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their		of the Age Discrin					
Employment Act of 1967, as amended. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	NOTARY - When	necessary for State and Loc	al Agency Requirements ve charge and that it is true to				
Employment Act of 1967, as amended. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their	NOTARY - When	necessary for State and Loc that I have read the abo nowledge, Information ar	al Agency Requirements ve charge and that it is true to				
Employment Act of 1967, as amended. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	NOTARY - When I swear or affirm the best of my k SIGNATURE OF	necessary for State and Loc that I have read the abo nowledge, information ar COMPLAINANT	al Agency Requirements ve charge and that it is true to ad belief.				
Employment Act of 1967, as amended. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures.	NOTARY - When I swear or affirm the best of my k SIGNATURE OF	necessary for State and Loc that I have read the abo nowledge, information ar COMPLAINANT	al Agency Requirements ve charge and that it is true to ad belief.				

APPENDIX

No.	ļ	

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To:	Dione D. Wilkinson		
	12023 S.E. 38th St.		
	Choctaw, OK 73020		

To: Dione D. Wilkinson 12023 S.E. 38th St. Choctaw, OK 73020		From: Oklahoma City Area Office 215 Dean A. McGee Avenue Sulte 524 Oklahoma City, OK 73102					
ļ		On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1501.7(a))					
EEO	C Charge				Telephone No.		
	•	K. Kelly,					
564	-2013-0	01190 Investigator			(405) 231-4353		
THE	EEOC	IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR TH	E FOLLO	WING REASON:	•		
		The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under a	any of the s	tatutes enforced by the I	EEOC.		
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.						
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
1	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge						
	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been reised by this charge.						
	The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that Investigated this charge.						
-		Other (briefly state)					
		- NOTICE OF SU (See the additional information					
Disc	rimina	e Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Infetion in Employment Act: This will be the only notice	of dismis	sal and of your right to	sue that we will send you.		
laws	uit mu	e a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal la st be filed <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u> of your receipt of this me limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law	notice; c	or your right to sue base			
alleg	ged EP/	Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state A underpayment. This means that backpay due for a file suit may not be collectible.					
		On behalf (of the Com	mission			
		46. 7 ella	54	•	July 2, 2013		
End	losures(s	Holly Wald			(Date Mailed)		
cc:	H. Bi 30	on Agorichas R. Manager RAUMS CORPORATE OFFICE 600 N.E. 63rd Street klahoma City, OK 73121					

APPENDIX